



Naturalization Policy and Chinese-Filipino Educational Access: A Legal-Historical Analysis

Daniel Francisco P. Del Rosario^{1,2*}, Rishirl A. Cuario¹, May D. Borja¹,
Jennifer Victoria N. Genato¹, Dexter B. Raymundo^{1,2}, Karlos Guerrero D. Zaragoza¹

¹ National University, Philippines

² De La Salle University, Philippines

Received: May 20, 2026

Revised: June 30, 2026

Accepted: July 3, 2026

Online: September 30, 2026

Abstract

The Chinese community in the Philippines faced significant educational and professional barriers under restrictive naturalization policies established after independence. Commonwealth Act 473's complex requirements and the corrupt implementation of the naturalization process prevented many Chinese immigrants from obtaining citizenship, limiting their access to professional education and confining them economically to the retail trade. This study examines how President Ferdinand Marcos Sr.'s Letter of Instruction (LOI) 270 (1975) reformed Philippine naturalization procedures and expanded Chinese residents' access to formal educational and professional opportunities. Using legal-historical document analysis, the study compares LOI 270, Presidential Decree 836, Presidential Decree 491, Commonwealth Act 473, and relevant provisions of the 1935 Philippine Constitution. The study used document triangulation and thematic coding to identify patterns of policy change related to educational access. The findings show that LOI 270 substantially simplified naturalization procedures and expanded legal access to professional education for Chinese immigrants. The transfer of Chinese schools to the Department of Education and Culture also aligned Chinese educational institutions with national curriculum standards while maintaining cultural heritage. This study contributes an original analysis of the educational dimensions of LOI 270 and the concept of 'educational citizenship' as a framework for understanding how naturalization policy can dismantle educational barriers in post-colonial contexts. Conclusions are limited to demonstrable changes in legal and policy access; claims about lived integration and intergenerational identity are identified as areas for future empirical research.

Keywords: LOI 270, Chinese-Filipino Education, Philippine Naturalization Policy, Citizenship Barriers, Educational access, Legal-Historical Analysis

INTRODUCTION

Past government policies, institutions, and educational opportunities shape how immigrant communities integrate into their new homes. Access to education in Southeast Asia has historically both enabled and constrained minority participation in society. The historical trajectory of Chinese migrants integrating into the Philippines demonstrates how citizenship policies can determine educational and professional outcomes across generations.

The American colonial regime significantly altered these dynamics. Extending the 1902 Chinese Exclusion Act to the Philippines hindered political and social integration. American policy allowed Chinese men exempted from the 1902 Exclusion Act to bring their wives, discouraging intermarriage and heightening ethnic separation between Filipino and Chinese communities (Alejandrino, 2015).

This shift gave rise to institutional segregation. In fact, the 1935 Constitution restricted natural-born Filipino citizenship to those whose fathers were Filipino citizens, while the children of Filipino mothers had to choose Filipino citizenship at age 18 (Government of the Philippines, 1935). This meant that intermarriage could no longer facilitate integration, because having a Filipino mother did not mean automatic Filipino citizenship.

Copyright Holder:

© Del Rosario, Cuario, Borja, Genato, Raymundo & Zaragoza. (2026)
Corresponding author's email: dfpdelrosario@national-u.edu.ph

This Article is Licensed Under:



The post-independence period saw segregationist educational and economic policies deepen. The 1954 Retail Trade Nationalization Law and Carlos P. Garcia's Filipino First Policy created conditions that further hindered Chinese naturalization and integration ([Abinales & Amoroso, 2005](#)). According to [Agoncillo \(1990\)](#), the Retail Trade Nationalization Act also favored American corporations, sometimes at Filipino traders' expense. However, Chinese businessmen felt its exclusionary effects most acutely, with some labeling the Filipino First Policy as 'anti-Chinese' ([Agoncillo, 1990](#)).

These exclusionary policies had profound educational implications. Commonwealth Act 473's naturalization requirements mandated that applicants' children be enrolled in Philippine schools. However, the corrupt and lengthy naturalization process kept many Chinese families in legal limbo, unable to fully participate in Philippine educational life ([Cortes, 1977](#)).

Government officials reportedly sold business licenses for 50,000 Philippine pesos ([Abinales & Amoroso, 2005](#)), and naturalization judges were documented accepting bribes and testing candidates on their Tagalog pronunciation rather than on substantive knowledge of Philippine culture and history ([Aguilar, 2012](#)). The 1935 Constitution further limited Chinese pathways to citizenship, requiring service in the colonial government during the Spanish or American eras — a qualification that excluded virtually all post-independence Chinese residents ([Government of the Philippines, 1935](#)).

The normalization of Philippines-China diplomatic relations in the early 1970s provided the political context for addressing these systemic exclusions. Previously, according to [Agoncillo \(1990\)](#), the Philippine government recognized Chiang Kai-shek's Republic of China as the legitimate Chinese government, even after Chiang fled to Taiwan. This meant that the Taiwanese government administered Chinese schools in the Philippines ([Aguilar, 2012](#)). Because of anti-communist sentiment in the Philippines before Marcos Sr., the Taiwanese government continued to be recognized as China, according to [Aguilar \(2012\)](#).

When President Marcos Sr. shifted diplomatic recognition toward the People's Republic of China (PRC), Chinese residents in the Philippines faced a choice: naturalize as Filipinos, claim Republic of China citizenship and risk statelessness due to the impending non-recognition of the Republic of China, or accept PRC citizenship — an untenable option for many, given that most had fled the Chinese Civil War ([Aguilar, 2012](#); [Cortes, 1977](#)). Under these conditions, naturalization into Filipino citizenship became the only viable path for most Chinese residents, and LOI 270 was designed to make that path accessible.

Previous studies have examined the legal dimensions of LOI 270 ([Cortes, 1977](#)), individual naturalization experiences ([Aguilar, 2012](#)), and the policy's role in political and economic integration ([Abinales & Amoroso, 2005](#)). However, these works did not systematically analyze the policy's educational dimensions — specifically, how streamlined naturalization expanded educational access and professional opportunities for Chinese immigrants and their children. This study addresses that gap.

This research examines how Ferdinand Marcos Sr.'s Letter of Instruction No. 270 and related decrees restructured Chinese immigrants' access to Philippine education and licensed professions. It traces citizenship policy from Commonwealth Act 473's restrictive framework to the Marcos Sr. regime's more accessible procedures, analyzing how these changes affected educational opportunities for Chinese residents. The study's conclusions are limited to demonstrable changes in legal and policy access; claims about actual lived integration and intergenerational identity transformation are acknowledged as requiring further empirical research.

This study answers the following research questions: (1) How did LOI 270 reform the naturalization procedures that prevented Chinese immigrants from fully participating in Philippine education? (2) How did naturalization under the expedited procedure expand Chinese residents'

legal opportunities in education and the workplace? (3) What was the effect of placing Chinese schools under the Department of Education and Culture supervision on the curricular framework and cultural context of Chinese educational institutions? (4) How did the revamped naturalization policy of the Marcos Sr. regime support the conditions for long-term integration of Chinese-Filipino families?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Naturalization and Immigrant Integration

Research on immigrant absorption consistently links naturalization, educational access, and social integration. [Ahrens et al. \(2024\)](#) found that naturalization helps immigrants become economically and socially active. However, naturalization application rates remain persistently low across many contexts—reflecting the deterrent effect of bureaucratic barriers.

This dynamic is clearly visible in the Philippine case, where [Commonwealth Act 473 \(1939\)](#) required migrants to demonstrate ten years of residency, ownership of real property worth 5,000 pesos or stable employment, proficiency in Spanish, English, or a Philippine language, and good moral character.

The law later reduced the residency requirement to five years for Philippine-born applicants. Beyond Commonwealth Act 473, other legal routes that might have made citizenship easier were private acts passed by Congress. In practice, those often meant accomplishing something that benefited the Philippines or knowing the right congressman.

Overall, the difficulty written into naturalization was clearly meant to ensure “proper integration” before citizenship. In reality, the conditions in the naturalization laws, combined with corrupt implementation, effectively barred most Chinese residents from citizenship.

Researchers have also begun to examine the role of policy interventions in facilitating integration. State policies that lower immigrants' adjustment costs tend to achieve more effective integration than restrictive frameworks ([Fouka, 2024](#)).

The Philippine experience directly illustrates this principle: the promulgation of LOI 270 expedited naturalization and thereby expanded educational opportunities for Chinese immigrants. Evidence from Switzerland similarly shows that naturalization catalyzes labor market integration, particularly among disadvantaged migrant groups ([Peters et al., 2021](#)).

Educational Access and Migrant Integration

The relationship between educational access and immigrant adjustment has become a central focus of migration research. Scoping reviews of migrant integration and education policy highlight the importance of multidimensional support that addresses the linguistic, academic, and social dimensions of educational inclusion ([Volante et al., 2024](#)). These findings indicate that educational policy can function as both a mechanism for integration and a source of exclusion, depending on institutional design.

Research on students with immigrant backgrounds reveals persistent educational gaps that extend beyond academic performance to include belonging and social participation ([Baysu & de Valk, 2017](#)). This pattern is particularly relevant to the Commonwealth and Third Republic-era Philippines, where Chinese students were educationally disadvantaged because of their parents' citizenship status. [Molla \(2024\)](#) similarly found that school belonging is a significant factor in student engagement, a dimension that was systematically denied to Chinese-Filipino students before the reforms introduced by LOI 270.

Citizenship, Cultural Preservation, and Integration Policy

The role of cultural institutions in supporting integration is well established. [Jingyia and De Dios \(2024\)](#) showed that Chinese language education can promote multicultural integration when appropriately structured, demonstrating that heritage preservation and social integration are not mutually exclusive.

[Chen et al. \(2023\)](#) found that community participation significantly aids urban social integration, while [Niyubahwe et al. \(2013\)](#) documented how restrictive citizenship frameworks make it difficult for immigrant teachers to access professional opportunities — a dynamic directly paralleled in the pre-LOI 270 Philippines, where Chinese migrants were effectively excluded from the licensed professions.

The [Presidents' Alliance on Higher Education and Immigration \(2024\)](#) argues that institutions can support immigrant naturalization to facilitate educational and community integration—an approach that parallels the Marcos Sr. regime's educational strategy under LOI 270.

Research Gap

While existing scholarship on Chinese-Filipino history addresses the legal, political, and economic dimensions of LOI 270, no study has systematically analyzed its educational implications: specifically, how streamlined naturalization expanded legal access to professional education, and how the transfer of Chinese schools to DepEd supervision reshaped the curricular framework of Chinese-Filipino educational institutions.

[Laurentsyevea and Venturini \(2017\)](#) note that host-population acceptance and social cohesion are critical conditions for integration, and that well-designed policy facilitates them. This study addresses the identified gap by examining LOI 270 as an educational policy intervention, introducing the concept of 'educational citizenship' — the idea that naturalization functions not merely as a legal status change but as a mechanism that directly unlocks educational and professional opportunities for migrant communities.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study uses legal-historical document analysis to examine how Ferdinand Marcos Sr.'s naturalization policy shaped the educational and professional opportunities available to Chinese residents in the Philippines. The research design combines policy analysis with historical comparative analysis, situating primary legal documents within their historical and political context.

Document Sources

Primary sources were accessed through the Official Gazette of the Philippines and Arellano University's Lawphil.ph database. These covered the period from 1935 to 1980. The table below identifies each document used, its type, source, and relevance to the study.

Document	Year	Source	Type	Relevance to Study
Letter of Instruction No. 270	1975	Official Gazette	Primary – Executive Order	Establishes expedited naturalization procedure; central policy examined
Presidential Decree No. 836	1975	Official Gazette	Primary – Executive Decree	Allows unified family applications for

Presidential Decree No. 491	n.d.	Supreme Court (Lawphil)	Primary – Executive Decree	naturalization Exempts students from occupational requirement; eases language requirement.
Commonwealth Act No. 473 (Revised Naturalization Law)	1939	Lawphil.ph	Primary – Legislative	Baseline restrictive law against which LOI 270 reforms are compared
1935 Philippine Constitution (incl. 1940 & 1947 amendments)	1935–1947	Official Gazette	Primary – Constitutional	Provides citizenship framework governing Chinese migrants pre-LOI 270
1973 Philippine Constitution	1973	Official Gazette	Primary – Constitutional	Provides the citizenship framework that enabled LOI 270 Restricts ownership and control of schools to Filipinos, thus enabling the Department of Education and Culture to take over Chinese Schools

Secondary sources included peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and newspaper columns. Key secondary works included [Cortes \(1977\)](#) on the legal dimensions of LOI 270, [Aguilar \(2012\)](#) on individual naturalization experience under Marcos Sr., [Abinales and Amoroso \(2005\)](#) on its political and economic context, and [Pacho \(1980\)](#) on naturalization statistics.

Analytical Procedure

Analysis proceeded in three stages. First, initial reading established the significance and historical context of each document. Second, deductive coding used the four research questions as the primary coding framework. Codes were organized around four themes: educational access, professional opportunities, institutional transformation, and integration outcomes. The study also noted emergent themes, following [Braun and Clarke \(2006\)](#). Third, thematic analysis compiled codes into patterns illustrating how citizenship policy shaped educational opportunity.

Triangulation, as defined by [Denzin \(1970\)](#), was applied by comparing data across document types (constitutional, legislative, executive, and administrative), time periods (pre- and post-LOI 270), and scholarly interpretations. For example, the claim that LOI 270 shortened the naturalization timeline to eight months was corroborated by the letter itself, by [Cortes \(1977\)](#), and by [Pacho's \(1980\)](#) statistical data. Where triangulation identified inconsistencies or gaps in sources, these were noted as limitations. Legal-historical interpretation followed the principles of [Purnhagen and Wahlen \(2023\)](#), situating documents within their broader legal and political

context.

Limitations of Method

This study's findings are limited to what the documentary record can support: changes in legal and policy access to education and the professions. The method does not permit conclusions about how individual Chinese-Filipino families experienced or responded to these changes. Chinese community documents—which may have been written in Hokkien—were inaccessible because of language constraints, limiting analysis of Chinese immigrants' lived perspectives and potentially affecting claims about cultural identity and community response.

The study's geographic focus on Manila also means that findings may not reflect implementation experiences in other regions. Claims about intergenerational identity transformation and long-term educational outcomes therefore require future empirical research using oral histories, school records, and longitudinal data.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of LOI 270 and related decrees reveals a coherent set of policy changes that substantially altered the legal conditions governing Chinese residents' access to Philippine education and licensed professions. The findings are organized around four themes derived from the thematic coding process and aligned with the study's research questions. The table below provides a structured overview of the analytical connections between research questions, documents, codes, themes, and findings.

Research Question	Documents Analyzed	Codes/Themes	Findings
RQ1: How did LOI 270 reform naturalization procedures?	LOI 270 ; Commonwealth Act 473 ; Cortes (1977) ; Aguilar (2012)	Removal of Educational Barriers; Procedural Simplification	LOI 270 eliminated property requirements, imposed an eight-month approval deadline, and extended citizenship to family members, dramatically reducing naturalization barriers.
RQ2: How did naturalization expand educational and professional opportunities?	LOI 270 ; PD 491 ; Ang See (2015) ; Pacho (1980)	Citizenship as Educational Security; Professional Access	Naturalization enabled access to profession-restricted courses (law, engineering). A 62% approval rate from 1975–1980 (Pacho, 1980) reflects broad uptake and legal opening of professional education.
RQ3: What was the effect of placing Chinese schools under DepEd supervision?	PD 836 ; Aguilar (2012) ; Cortes (1977)	Institutional Preservation Through Supervised Integration	The transfer (1973–1975) aligned Chinese schools with the national curriculum while retaining existing administrators and preserving cultural heritage, preventing foreign government control.
RQ4: How did the revamped policy	LOI 270 ; Wickberg (2015)	Intergenerational Identity	Second-generation Chinese-Filipinos naturalized under

aid integration across generations?	Ang Lee (2008) ; Abinales & Amoroso (2005)	Transformation	LOI 270 began identifying more as Filipino, paralleling the earlier assimilation of Chinese mestizos under Spanish colonial governance.
---	---	----------------	---

Theme 1: Removal of Educational Barriers Through Procedural Reform

Before 1975, Chinese residents seeking naturalization under [Commonwealth Act 473 \(1939\)](#), or the Revised Naturalization Act, faced an elaborate set of requirements—ten years of residency (except for those born in the Philippines, who needed only five years). Real property ownership or stable employment, language proficiency, and evidence of good moral character — these were compounded by corrupt implementation.

[Cortes \(1977\)](#) documented how the process's length and opacity kept many families in legal uncertainty. [Aguilar \(2012\)](#) described naturalization judges who accepted bribes and assessed candidates on Tagalog pronunciation rather than knowledge of Philippine culture. For example, the immigration officials expected Chinese applicants to mispronounce the Tagalog words for cow and pig ([Aguilar, 2012](#)).

[Aguilar \(2012\)](#) also recorded that during Benito Lim's naturalization process, the judge asked him to pay Php 20,000 to move his application forward. Under these conditions, Chinese families had little incentive to invest in long-term educational planning.

The 1973 Constitution facilitated procedural reform by allowing children of Filipino mothers to claim natural-born Filipino citizenship and allowing Filipino women who married foreigners to retain citizenship. ([Government of the Philippines, 1973](#)). This changed the definition of citizenship by extending it to children of foreign fathers and Filipino mothers. However, the Constitution did not cover those born before its effectivity. This is why a Letter of Instruction was necessary to push through with further procedural reform.

LOI 270 introduced several procedural reforms that directly reduced these barriers. First, the letter exempted applicants from the real property requirement of the Revised Naturalization Act; applicants needed only to demonstrate a known profession or occupation ([Government of the Philippines, 1975](#)).

Second, LOI 270 required the review committee to recommend each application by May 15, 1975—effectively compressing the approval timeline to about eight months. Third, Presidential Decree No. 836, promulgated in July 1975, allowed unified family applications rather than requiring each family member to file separately, except for those members of legal age ([Government of the Philippines, July 1975](#)).

Fourth, Presidential Decree No. 491 exempted college students from the occupational requirement and exempted already-qualified applicants from the language requirement, further reducing procedural burdens ([Supreme Court of the Philippines, n.d.](#)). Together, these changes transformed naturalization from an unpredictable, lengthy bureaucratic process into a defined and relatively accessible one.

The study cannot directly demonstrate changes in individual families' educational enrollment decisions resulting from these reforms. The available documentary record shows that legal access to professional education expanded; linking that legal change to actual enrollment patterns would require school records or survey data beyond this study's scope.

Theme 2: Citizenship as Educational Security and Professional Access

Prior to LOI 270, Chinese residents were legally excluded from professions restricted to Filipino citizens. [Ang See \(2015\)](#) confirmed that fields such as law, engineering, medicine, and other

licensed professions were closed to non-citizens. In practice, this confined the economic activities of Chinese residents—regardless of their educational qualifications—to the retail trade.

The expedited naturalization enabled by LOI 270 created a legal pathway to these restricted professions. [Pacho \(1980\)](#) documented that between 1975 and 1980, 4,446 applications were processed under Presidential Decree 836, with 3,467 approved — a 62% approval rate. This evidence indicates that LOI 270 led a substantial number of Chinese residents to acquire Filipino citizenship in a short period, which in turn gave their children legal eligibility to enroll in professional degree programs.

The extension of naturalization to wives—including former Filipino citizens who lost their citizenship through marriage to Chinese nationals—and to minor children is also significant. As documented in [Aguilar's \(2012\)](#) account of the Lim family, Aurora Lim lost her Filipino citizenship upon marrying Benito Lim under the citizenship law. The extension of naturalization under LOI 270 allowed her to reclaim this status, ensuring that their children would be raised as Filipino citizens with full access to professional education.

The only restriction on naturalized Chinese was that they could not run for national government positions; otherwise, they were equal to natural-born Filipinos. In fact, they were not restricted to retail trade. This dimension of the policy had direct educational implications that the existing literature had not previously analyzed in detail.

Theme 3: Institutional Preservation Through Supervised Integration

The transfer of Chinese schools from supervision by the Republic of China (Taiwan) to the Philippine Department of Education and Culture occurred gradually between 1973 and 1975. The broader diplomatic realignment partly drove this transition, as the Philippines moved toward recognizing the People's Republic of China (PRC).

It was important to prevent either the PRC or the ROC from controlling educational institutions within Philippine territory ([Aguilar, 2012](#)). This protected Philippine sovereignty over the education system because the 1973 Constitution restricted ownership of educational institutions to Filipinos ([Government of the Philippines, 1973](#)). This restriction was not present in the 1935 Constitution.

The resulting policy framework preserved existing school administrators while requiring alignment with national curriculum standards. [Aguilar \(2012\)](#) noted that school administrators maintained Chinese cultural content within their institutions, while the curriculum conformed to DepEd requirements.

This arrangement allowed Chinese educational institutions to continue functioning as cultural spaces — important given the role of institutions such as Xavier School, a Catholic Chinese institution, whose character would have been incompatible with PRC oversight, due to PRC hostility to religion during the Cultural Revolution — while simultaneously integrating them into the national educational system.

This policy model, in which immigrant educational institutions are regulated rather than replaced, may have broader relevance for contemporary debates about immigrant community schools. It demonstrated that cultural heritage and national curriculum standards are not necessarily incompatible, provided that oversight is structured carefully. The documentary evidence supports this as a deliberate policy design, not simply a pragmatic accommodation.

Theme 4: Conditions for Intergenerational Identity Change

The documentary and secondary sources examined in this study suggest that the naturalization reforms introduced by LOI 270 created conditions for Chinese-Filipino children to develop stronger identification with Philippine society. [Ang Lee \(2008\)](#) observed that Chinese-

Filipino descendants who benefited from naturalization under the Marcos Sr. regime began to identify more as Filipino than as Chinese. This trajectory parallels the earlier assimilation described by [Wickberg \(2015\)](#), in which Chinese mestizos under Spanish colonial governance came to identify as native Filipinos.

However, it is important to distinguish between the legal conditions created by LOI 270 and any direct claim about individual identity outcomes. The available documentary record establishes that naturalization gave Chinese-Filipino children the legal status and institutional access (including access to Philippine schools and licensed professions) that are prerequisites for the kind of identification described by [Ang Lee \(2008\)](#) and [Wickberg \(2015\)](#). Whether and how individual families experienced this shift in identity is a question for future qualitative research, including oral history interviews with members of the Chinese-Filipino community.

Comparison with Previous Research

This study's findings extend existing scholarship on LOI 270 in several ways. [Cortes \(1977\)](#) analyzed the legal principles underlying the letter's naturalization procedures but did not examine its educational consequences. Still, her work showed that citizenship became more obtainable for Chinese residents, but it did not show the opportunities they gained.

[Aguilar \(2012\)](#) provided a detailed individual-level account of the naturalization experience but did not analyze how citizenship acquisition translated into expanded educational access at a policy level. In fact, Lim told [Aguilar \(2012\)](#) that Aurora, his wife, lost her Filipino citizenship after their marriage. This illustrates how old citizenship rules affected intermarriage.

[Aguilar \(2012\)](#) also documented how LOI 270 helped Chinese businessmen grow beyond retail trade, but he did not really analyze whether and how citizenship acquisition affected integration. This research builds on Aguilar's work by showing that naturalization opened more opportunities for Chinese residents in the Philippines.

[Abinales and Amoroso \(2005\)](#) recognized LOI 270's role in political and economic integration. They argued that Marcos Sr. used naturalization partly to build political support among the Chinese community—a point not disputed here. To be fair, this helped reduce government corruption. Acquiring citizenship helped ensure integration into the Philippine state, but through more honest means.

This is because [Tiglao \(2011\)](#) argued that the LOI worked because now the Chinese community could own Philippine land and expand their businesses. [Abinales and Amoroso \(2005\)](#) also noted that when the Philippine economy took a hard hit after the assassination of Ninoy Aquino, Marcos Sr.'s Chinese business allies created an underground Central Bank to keep the economy functioning, as a way of expressing their gratitude. Still, their point that naturalized Chinese became full citizens and then took part in Filipino politics did not explore how education made these opportunities possible.

This study builds on these contributions by situating educational access at the center of the analysis, arguing that LOI 270 functioned not merely as a legal change but as an educational policy intervention that removed systematic barriers to professional training.

Research Novelty and Contributions

This study is novel because it advances understanding of migrant integration and government policy on citizenship. Consequently, it reveals the legal side of naturalization and how it affects daily life. In fact, the study addresses both the legal and personal sides of naturalization. It is also novel for the following reasons.

First, it provides a systematic and innovative analysis of the educational dimensions of Ferdinand Marcos Sr.'s naturalization policy. It shows the connection between citizenship and

integration. Previous studies did not prioritize education, but this research argues that education is central to integration. Education is a crucial part of socialization. Therefore, education also supports social integration because it teaches young people a society's values and culture.

Second, the study utilizes educational citizenship to explain how naturalization can create new opportunities for migrant communities. It shows why LOI 270 worked better. It opened citizenship to Chinese residents who were marginalized even after living in the Philippines for quite some time. This was an attempt to remedy the othering that the Americans brought in when they exported their exclusionary policies against the Chinese to the Philippines.

Third, the study shows how the study was implemented. This analysis shows that the naturalization process was faster than the normal process provided for by the Revised Naturalization Law. This showed that naturalization does not have to be highly bureaucratic to protect the country's interests. In fact, a bureaucratic naturalization process made corruption flourish, because the slow process allowed immigration officials to take advantage of applicants, as in Benito Lim's situation.

Fourth, the study explains how diplomatic policy is connected to domestic integration. The shift in Philippine recognition from the Republic of China to the People's Republic of China was a crucial factor that shaped the naturalization policy of the Marcos Sr. administration. This strategy sought to address domestic and foreign problems simultaneously. The government's control of the Chinese schools prevented the People's Republic of China from taking control of the Republic of China. Also, naturalization allowed Chinese in the Philippines to truly integrate, rather than return to a government they opposed or risk statelessness as citizens of a country the Philippines did not recognize.

Finally, the research also shows a new view on the transfer of Chinese schools to the Department of Education and Culture. It may have seemed like a small shift, but in reality, it was a carefully planned policy. This allowed the Philippine government to successfully prevent a foreign government from having input in the Philippine educational system.

CONCLUSIONS

This study examined how Letter of Instruction No. 270 and its associated decrees restructured the legal and policy framework governing Chinese residents' access to Philippine education and licensed professions. The findings demonstrate that the naturalization reforms introduced by LOI 270 substantially reduced the procedural barriers that had confined Chinese immigrants to legal uncertainty and professional exclusion since independence.

Three key findings emerge from the documentary evidence. First, LOI 270 transformed the naturalization process from an unpredictable, multi-year procedure into a defined eight-month timeline. It exempted applicants from the real property requirement, which had previously been a significant obstacle. Presidential Decrees 836 and 491 reinforced these changes by allowing unified family applications and further reducing procedural requirements.

Second, transferring Chinese schools to DepEd supervision aligned Chinese educational institutions with national curriculum standards while preserving existing cultural administration—a model that balanced national integration objectives with cultural continuity. Third, extending citizenship to wives and minor children ensured that Filipino women, like Benito Lim's wife Aurora, who had lost their citizenship through marriage to Chinese nationals, and their children would have full access to Philippine civic life and professional education.

The study introduces the concept of 'educational citizenship' to describe LOI 270 as a mechanism that used legal status change to unlock educational and professional opportunity. This framework highlights a dimension of naturalization policy—its effect on educational access—that existing scholarship on Chinese-Filipino integration has underexplored.

The study also demonstrates that diplomatic policy and domestic integration strategy can be pursued simultaneously through a coherent policy design. The transfer of Chinese schools to Philippine government supervision and the offer of Filipino citizenship were not merely reactive measures; they were coordinated responses to the political challenge posed by the diplomatic shift from the ROC to the PRC, and they used educational institutions to advance national cohesion.

These conclusions are limited to demonstrable changes in legal and policy access. The study does not claim to directly measure actual changes in enrollment, professional participation, or individual identity. These dimensions of the LOI 270 legacy require future empirical research.

LIMITATION & FURTHER RESEARCH

This study's primary limitation is its reliance on government records and published secondary sources. The documentary method supports conclusions about legal and policy change; it does not support direct claims about how Chinese-Filipino families experienced naturalization, how individual educational choices shifted, or how intergenerational identity was formed. Claims about lived integration, family decision-making, cultural assimilation, and long-term professional mobility require future research using oral histories, school enrollment records, community archives, and longitudinal data.

The geographic focus on Manila also limits generalizability. The implementation and outcomes of LOI 270 in provincial settings may have differed significantly due to varying local conditions, administrative capacity, and social dynamics. Future research should examine provincial experiences to provide a more comprehensive account of how educational integration developed outside urban centers.

Language barriers prevented the examination of Chinese community documents likely written in Hokkien. This gap particularly affects analysis of how the Chinese community itself understood and responded to the reforms, and limits claims about cultural identity and community agency.

Comparative studies could also strengthen understanding of the Chinese-Filipino experience under LOI 270. Examining how Malaysia and Indonesia approached integrating Peranakan Chinese communities, including the role of educational policy in those contexts, could identify effective strategies and reveal whether the Philippine model offers transferable lessons. These comparisons can help develop more adaptive and balanced migrant integration policies in a globalized society.

Several policy implications emerge from the findings. First, efficient naturalization procedures with clear timelines allow immigrant families to plan for education and professional development; this administrative dimension of integration policy deserves greater attention.

Second, integration policies are more effective when they address legal status, educational access, and cultural preservation as a coordinated package rather than separate concerns. Third, immigrant educational institutions can preserve cultural heritage and simultaneously align with national standards through appropriate supervisory frameworks, rather than through the removal of such institutions.

REFERENCES

- Abinales, P. N., & Amoroso, D. J. (2005). *State and society in the Philippines*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Agoncillo, T. A. (1990). *History of the Filipino people* (8th ed.). Garotech.
- Aguilar, F. V. (2012). Benito Lim: Philippine citizenship through mass naturalization, a dictator's largesse? *Philippine Studies: Historical and Ethnographic Viewpoints*, 60(3), 391–415.
- Ahrens, A., Stampi-Bombelli, A., Kurer, S., & Hangartner, D. (2024). Optimal multi-action treatment allocation: A two-phase field experiment to boost immigrant naturalization. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 39(7), 1379–1395. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.3092>

- Alejandrino, C. L. (2015). A history of the 1902 Chinese Exclusion Act. In R. T. Chu (Ed.), *More Tsinoy than we admit: Chinese-Filipino interactions over the centuries* (pp. 261–299). Vibal Foundation.
- Ang Lee, T. (2008, August). *Tsinoy: Showcasing the best of the Filipino and the Chinese*. China Business Philippines. http://chinabusinessphilippines.com/index.php?option=com_content
- Ang See, T. (2015). Dimensions of economic success: The Chinese in the Philippines. In R. T. Chu (Ed.), *More Tsinoy than we admit: Chinese-Filipino interactions over the centuries* (pp. 423–436). Vibal Foundation.
- Baysu, G., & de Valk, H. A. (2017). Immigrant integration policy for future generations? *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 43(9), 1513–1532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2017.1292369>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Chen, S., Li, H., & Wang, M. (2023). Migrants' community participation and social integration in urban areas: A scoping review. *Cities*, 139, 104368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2023.104447>
- Commonwealth Act No. 473. (1939). *Lawphil.net*. http://www.lawphil.net/statutes/comacts/ca_473_1939.html
- Cortes, I. R. (1977). Mass naturalization by legislation and the Chinese in the Philippines. *Philippine Law Journal*, 52(4), 353–372.
- Denzin, N. K. (1970). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods*. Aldine.
- Fouka, V. (2024). State policy and immigrant integration. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 27, 25–46. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051921-102651>
- Government of the Philippines. (1935). *1935 Constitution amended*. The Official Gazette.
- Government of the Philippines. (1973). *1973 Constitution*. The Official Gazette.
- Government of the Philippines. (1975, April 11). *Letter of Instruction No. 270, S. 1975*. The Official Gazette.
- Government of the Philippines. (1975, December 3). *Presidential Decree No. 836, S. 1975*. The Official Gazette.
- Jingyia, X., & De Dios, A. (2024). Multicultural integration and future pathways: An analysis of Chinese language education policies in Philippine public secondary schools. *Current Issues in Language Planning*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14664208.2024.2376962>
- Laurentsyeva, N., & Venturini, A. (2017). The social integration of immigrants and the role of policy. *Intereconomics*, 52(5), 285–292. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10272-017-0691-6>
- Molla, T. (2024). Refugees and school engagement: A scoping review. *Policy Futures in Education*, 22(8). <https://doi.org/10.1177/14782103241229013>
- Niyubahwe, A., Mukamurera, J., & Jutras, F. (2013). Professional integration of immigrant teachers in the school system: A literature review. *McGill Journal of Education*, 48(2), 279–296. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1020972ar>
- Pacho, A. (1980). The naturalization process and the Chinese in the Philippines. *Philippine Journal of Public Administration*, 24(3), 233–248.
- Peters, F., Vink, M., & Schmeets, H. (2021). Anticipating the citizenship premium: Before-and-after effects of immigrant naturalization on employment. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 47(6), 1420–1440. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2019.1672508>
- Presidents' Alliance on Higher Education and Immigration. (2024). *Immigrant-origin students in U.S. higher education*. <https://www.higheredimmigrationportal.org/>
- Purnhagen, K., & Wahlen, S. (2023). *Legal-historical analysis: Principles and approaches*. Springer.
- Supreme Court of the Philippines. (n.d.). *Presidential Decree No. 491: Creating a National Nutrition*

- Council and for other purposes.* Lawphil.
https://lawphil.net/statutes/presdecs/pd1974/pd_491_1974.html
- Tiglao, R. (2011, September 21). Demystifying Marcos' Martial Law regime. *Inquirer.net*.
<http://opinion.inquirer.net/12527/demystifying-marcos'-martial-law-regime>
- Volante, L., Klinger, D., & Bilgili, Ö. (2024). Migrant integration, equality of opportunity, and education policy: A scoping review. In *International handbook of comparative large-scale studies in education* (pp. 569–582). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55897-9_61
- Wickberg, E. (2015). The Chinese mestizo in Philippine history. In R. T. Chu (Ed.), *More Tsinoy than we admit: Chinese-Filipino interactions over the centuries* (pp. 173–213). Vibal Foundation.